Antonius Stradiuarius

Volume I-VIII
Some years have passed since we published Volumes I–IV on Antonio Stradivari in 2010, and my team and I have used this time to track down another 152 Stradivari instruments. So now 300 instruments have been depicted and documented, which should amount to roughly two-thirds of the Antonio Stradivari instruments that remain today.

Many owners were ecstatic in offering their support. Still, the undertaking was a major effort for all of us once more, and sometimes a good deal of persuasion was necessary in order to obtain some owners’ approval for such a publication.

In this context we were especially happy to receive Prof. Anne-Sophie Mutter’s approval to include her instrument, the 1710 ‘Lord Dunraven’, in this publication. We gladly catered to her request to use her own photo material in this case. Thus these volumes represent another several steps towards a more complete review of Stradivari’s œuvre.

Of course, it remains our goal to depict the complete œuvre and even to capture specific sub-areas. In our research we came across several instruments whose bellies or backs were unfortunately the only remaining original pieces. We decided to round them up in later volumes.

Of specific significance was the contribution of historian Dr. Christian Eder. He was able to add several facts and connections to this publication that had not been discovered before. For instance, the nicknames of several instruments had to be corrected.

Besides the art historical perspective, the technical quality of the instruments was to be described, the level of preservation as well as special features were to be detailed, reductions or incorporations were to be tracked. This task was handled by musicologist and luthier Johannes Loescher in close cooperation with the above-mentioned experts.

Finally I asked John Dilworth to make his essay on Antonio Stradivari’s sons available for this publication. Without his sons’ devoted cooperation, it is unlikely that Antonio Stradivari could have created such a comprehensive œuvre.

In our present volumes V–VIII we were able once more to make use of the newest technological advances. With regard to the quality of the pictures we could rely on Jan Röhrmann’s expert knowledge again. He used Phase One’s top-rated model IQ480 in full format with a resolution of 80 megapixels. This allowed us to document the instrument with even more precision. By means of the unique modular turntable system of 3D-VIZ.com for 360-degree imagery, we were also able to depict the instruments in three dimensions.

We had a few examples made and have the results available on our homepage and on the attached DVD. A special highlight of this publication is the use of dendrochronological study. It is presented in a separate article by our expert Arjan Versteeg. We submitted each instrument to this procedure in order to exclude from this publication any instruments that have obviously been falsely assigned. Fortunately there were only very few cases in which preexisting doubt was corroborated by this method of examination. What occurred more on the basis of this analysis was having to redate instruments to a later year of manufacture; in other cases an earlier date was probable.

In addition we also included the instruments from the first four volumes in this examination, leading to encouraging results that support our research. One particular result of this work is the discovery that several instruments come from the same trunk.

Dr. Rudolf Hopfner, Violin Forensic, gave us the opportunity to have several instruments examined by a micro-CT scanner at Vienna University. You will find the results and an introduction to this technology both in a separate article and in a video on the attached DVD. We were thoroughly excited by this cooperation. It was like moving through the instrument, which itself was now made of glass, so that even the smallest anomaly of the wood could be traced. I am convinced that this new dimension of assessing instruments will soon be made the standard practice and will reveal to us the last secrets of violin-making of that time.
What is also new to this publication is the auditory element. Both on the homepage and on the DVD you will find sound samples of highly diverse Stradivari instruments. We consciously chose only a few minutes per instrument in order to allow for a comparison of the instruments. We hope to be able to complete this collection with samples of all instruments in the future.

We were introduced to another interesting process by Andrea Michetti, a luthier from Turin. By means of endoscopic examination and the Microtex camera system he showed us an uncomplicated way how to reach the interiors of the instruments and to capture the level of their preservation in high-quality videos and pictures. In this way restoration work can be tracked precisely without having to open up or possibly damage the instrument. A selection of our results can also be seen on our homepage and the attached DVD. Here, as well, we hope to extend this resource in the future.

The printing house Pinsker proved to be a company that could master all challenges concerning the printing quality in the best sense of the word. Especially the lengthy process of print approval, which spanned several weeks, was challenging for all persons involved. It is vital, however, in order to ensure the precise colour space of the varnishes and the exact representation of the instruments on paper. Without sensitive and experienced printers as well precise craftsmanship in preparing the printing with the respective colour proofs by Jan Röhrmann, this could not have been done.

To print the documentation we had the world famous quality of HEIDELBERG’s newest generation of platemasters and printing machines at our disposal again. It guarantees the best possible realization.

A few years ago two small oil paintings appeared at an auction in Genoa with portraits of Antonio Stradivari and Giuseppe Guarneri del Gesù. At present they are the oldest depictions of the two masters. To express her enthusiasm for these works the sculptor Marijke de Vries created a bronze sculpture, which, in turn, served as a template for the artist SAXA to create the word picture that we included in our publication, with the words of Antonio Stradivari’s will.

Jost Thöne, Saig, 2016
Dendrochronological Study of Stradivari Instruments

Introduction

Dendrochronology and Stradivari

Dendrochronology, the exact dating of wood, is a long established science that has become increasingly important in the field of musical instruments.

The results of dendrochronological analyses are recognised by experts, dealers and auction houses as a scientific and crucial support for temporal attribution of string instruments. The results offer dendrochronological dates of more than 500 planks and information about the trees from which these planks were cut.

Dendrochronology and Stradivari

Dendrochronological analyses of the instruments of Antonio Stradivari are particularly interesting because of the long and distinguished career of this maker. In the masters’ workshop many high-quality tonewoods were used to make a great quantity of all kinds of string instruments.

The comparison between the woods of these instruments is fascinating, because it sometimes yields a “same tree connection.” These tree connections can shed light on the working process and the seasoning period of the wood.

Dendrochronology, Methodology

Dendrochronology is based on analysis of the annual growth-ring width-pattern. The growth of annual rings occurs immediately underneath the bark of the tree, so the outermost annual ring is the most recent, the latest one grown. Seasonal variations cause differences in consistency and colour in the freshly grown wood. The early-spring growth, the early wood, is normally characterised by a lighter shade than the late-summer growth, the late wood. After the winter, in which the growth makes a pause, the growth of the tree resumes, leaving a visible boundary between dark and light shades. Thus in temperate climate zones, where these seasonal variations occur, the age of a tree can be determined by counting the annual rings.

The widths of the rings vary from year to year and from location to location. These variations in ring-widths are i.a. caused by, and thus correlated to, variations in the prevailing climatic and environmental conditions. Climatic variation in one region over a number of years results in annual ring-width patterns that are characteristic for those years and region and unique in time. Separate trees in the same region may react differently to, variations in the prevailing climatic and environmental conditions. Dendrochronological analysis of the ring-width patterns of coniferous species which grew under similar conditions, such as the larch (Larix decidua Mill.), the fir (Abies alba Mill.) and the pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), have been compared with the sequences.

The sequences were statistically compared with a number of spruce reference chronologies, both of instrumental and of non-instrumental origin, including many generously supplied by the International Tree Ring Database (ITRDB) together with the author’s comprehensive database (Wenngren’s) of single measurements from musical instruments and wooden objects. Additionally chronologies of other coniferous species which grew under similar conditions, such as the larch (Larix decidua Mill.), the fir (Abies alba Mill.) and the pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), have been compared with the sequences.

Measurements

The annual ring-growth is determined by measuring the ring-widths. The measurements are taken from high-resolution images made by Jan Röhrmann. Especially, close-up photographs from Fisches and lower bass were used. Since the images were made from instruments with full set-up, some tree-rings might be hidden by strings, fingerboard or tailpiece. In instances where the grains are not perfectly parallel to the joint, it could be possible that there exist some not-measured annual rings underneath the tail piece or fingerboard.

The ring-width measurements were collected on multiple positions along horizontal axes, so as to minimise errors and deviations and to calculate a mean value of each ring-width. These ring-width sequences were then graphically and statistically analysed by a specialised software. Graphically the measurement sequences can be plotted on X/Y graphs with the years on the x axis, and the ring-width in mm on the y axis. An example of plotted sequences of the 1714 ‘Soil’ bass is shown below (Graph 1).

![Graph 1: 1714 ‘Soil’ bass by Antonio Stradivari bass- and treble-side](image)

The sequences were statistically compared with a number of spruce reference chronologies, both of instrumental and of non-instrumental origin, including many generously supplied by the International Tree Ring Database (ITRDB) together with the author’s comprehensive database (Wenngren’s) of single measurements from musical instruments and wooden objects. Additionally chronologies of other coniferous species which grew under similar conditions, such as the larch (Larix decidua Mill.), the fir (Abies alba Mill.) and the pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), have been compared with the sequences.

Figure 1: position of measurements

Photo: Jan Röhrmann

Figure 2: measurement process

(Both figures: detail of the 1699 ‘Antonii’ by Antonio Stradivari)
Computed tomography is an imaging technique using X-rays that was originally developed for medical diagnoses. The advantage of this technology is that the entire scanned volume can be captured and, using the resulting data, reconstructed three-dimensionally. Computed tomography is now used routinely in an extensive range of areas of the medical profession. The process has also been used in a modified form for several years in technical fields, in which there is enormous variation in the size of the area to be captured, the resolution and the level of radiation used.

High-resolution computed tomography (Micro-CT, µCT) is a specialized area in which a resolution of higher than 10 µm can be achieved, depending on the size of the object. The machine used by the Core Facility for Micro-Computed Tomography at the University of Vienna for the present examination is able to scan the body of a violin up to a maximum resolution of 70 µm. For practical reasons, the data has been reconstructed using a voxel size of 100 µm (a voxel is a pixel in three-dimensional space); the final resolution achieved is therefore 5 to 7 times higher than is possible with medical scanners. The resulting level of detail—and with it, the informative value of the images—is accordingly high.

The starting point for all visualizations is a stack of images in greyscale. To improve clarity, these grey values are assigned false colours. Dense areas appear light, while less dense areas appear dark; thus, air is black, and very dense wood (such as ebony), glue and varnish can appear white. This makes it possible to identify gland areas, whether construction joints or later repairs to the instrument.

The software we use has several measurement functions that we were able to employ during this examination. It is possible to take measurements in 2D space (i.e. measuring directly on the slices) or, once a surface model has been rendered, in 3D space. The first method was used to measure the thickness of the wood and the height of the arching. Indeed, our process is so accurate that it can measure the width of the annual rings with the precision required to run a dendrochronological test—even if the wood of the belly has such fine annual rings as those of the present violin.

The automated measurement on the 3D surface model was used to produce not only the thickness maps but also the elevation maps.
In the year 1667, having just married Francesca Foraboschi, Stradivari set up an atelier in the Casa Nuziale in Cremona. The present violin bears a label from this very year and is thus among the earliest instruments to have been produced by the young luthier in his first independent workshop.1

As one would expect, the influence of Amati can be clearly seen in this violin. Interestingly, Stradivari has used a traditional, smaller model that was also used alongside the larger model by other Cremonese violin makers of the Amati school in the mid-17th century. With a corpus length of 34.8 cm, it is half a centimetre shorter than another, very similar, Stradivari violin of the same year: the ‘Dubois’.2 The choice of wood for the one-piece back also seems traditional; it has been cut on the slab and has lively, broad flames that are occasionally interrupted by small areas of discoloration or knots. The broadly and variably flamed maple of the scroll and ribs is well matched to the back wood. On the trussle side of the ribs, one can see an arrangement that is typical for Stradivari: the flames in the C-bout run parallel to the neck and scroll, but in the opposite direction to the upper and lower bouts. The violin still has its original neck, however, this has been reset and re-cut. As a result of this alteration, the holes for the three nails that originally held the neck on the body are now visible, although they have since been filled in. The belly, made of hard spruce, is of choice quality, and the overall craftsmanship is of an extremely high level. The ‘Jenkins’ was originally covered in a light, golden varnish, which has been somewhat preserved in the C-bouts of the back and in the inaccessible recesses of the scroll and ribs.

The early violin has been known among experts since the Hill brothers’ publication of 1902; it is listed in Goodkind and is illustrated in the 1999 supplement to Doring. The history of the instrument can be traced back to the turn of the 20th century: the earliest known owner was probably Count CesareNicholas of Verona, who owned the violin in 1899. It was in that year that the instrument came into the hands of the London dealership William E. Hill & Sons, who sold it in 1902 to J. Younger. The violin remained in Younger’s family until 1947, when it was once more offered for sale at William E. Hill & Sons. It was purchased just a year later by the English violin virtuoso Tom William Jenkins (1910–1957). Jenkins, one of the most noted violinists of his time, had been a pupil of Edward Mauel (1880–1967) and performed regularly at the BBC from 1936 onwards. In 1948, on the BBC’s request, he took over as leader of this Palm Court Orchestra following the death of Albert Sandler (1906–1948). From then on, the Stradivari was his preferred concert instrument, and it is now accordingly named after him. In 1953 and 1954, at the pinnacle of his career, Jenkins won the National Radio Award for the Most Popular Musical Entertainer. Just few years later, however, the successful musician died unexpectedly in his 46th year. The violin passed to his wife, the flautist Michelle Jenkins, whom he had been married since 1953. In 1995, at the age of 10 years, Michelle Jenkins decided to sell the violin through Sothebys.3 The instrument was accordingly auctioned in London on 20 June 1995, and the highest bidder at £375,000 was an Elizabeth Thomas from Germany, who purchased the violin for her 10-year-old daughter Christina Thomas. Michelle Jenkins donated the proceeds of the sale to the Tom Jenkins Trust, which supports young musicians financially.

By 2008, the violin was once more up for sale, this time at the London dealership John & Arthur Beare. It was purchased in that year by Roger and Huguette Dubois of Drummondville, Canada, who in 2001 had founded the Caminio Foundation, a charitable organisation that provides financial support for musicians and funds concerts. The wealthy couple finally gave the violin over to the Foundation, in whose collection it has remained to this day. The ‘Jenkins’ is accompanied by certificates from William E. Hill & Sons (1948) and John & Arthur Beare (2008).

2 Interestingly, both violins were at one time simultaneously in the possession of Roger and Huguette Dubois in Canada.
Guitar 1679 ‘Sabionari’

The ‘Sabionari’ guitar, completed in 1679, is one of five extant baroque guitars made by Antonio Stradivari. It is currently in the possession of a private owner and is exhibited at the Museo del Violino in Cremona, Italy. A letter written in 1656 by the guitar’s then-owner, the art dealer Filippo Benetti of Ferrara, to a new buyer, the Bolognese hardships Vincenzo Tioli, still survives. According to this letter, which provides information about the whereabouts of the instrument in the 18th- and 19th-centuries, Benetti purchased the guitar from Giovanni Sabionari (after whom the instrument is now named), who had acquired it directly from a descendant of the Stradivari family, although the date of this sale is not given.

In 1997, the researcher Gianpaolo Gregori published a study presenting the history and a comparative organological analysis of the five remaining Stradivari guitars, including the ‘Sabionari’. He described the whereabouts of the instrument following its sale in 1654. In 1888, the guitar was in the hands of a new owner, F. Donati, who exhibited it at the International Exhibition of Musical Instruments in Bologna. The exhibition’s technical commissioner was the luthier Giuseppe Furtiari, and its honorary president was Giuseppe Verdi. Sometime between 1935 and 1945, the guitar was sold in Bologna by the dealer G. Bagó to its current owners, who took it to the 10th Guitar Convention in Bologna on 5 December 1948 and showed it to Andrés Segovia. Segovia examined the guitar thoroughly and decided to place his signature on the inside of the instrument, directly beneath the sound hole: ‘Due secoli più tardi, A. Segovia Bologna 1948’. With ‘two centuries later’, he was presumably referring to Stradivari’s death.

In 1894, the ‘Sabionari’ was displayed at the exhibition ‘Esposizione a il legno’ in Milan, and the exhibition’s technical commissioner, Bruce Carlson, invited Gianpaolo Gregori to examine the guitar. Stewart Pollens, former Conservator of Musical Instruments at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York, included a chapter on Stradivari guitars in his 2010 book ‘Stradivari’, in which he described the ‘Sabionari’ before its restoration in 2011. At the end of 2010, the current owners entrusted French luthiers and restorers Sinier de Ridder with the guitar’s restoration. Since none of the other remaining Stradivari guitars was in playing condition, no one in the modern world had ever heard the sound of these instruments. On 27 April 2011, the ‘Sabionari’ was exhibited at the Fabre Museum in Montpellier, where Sinier de Ridder gave a lecture about the restoration process, and the guitar was heard for the first time in a performance by the guitarist Kristnasol Jiménez Moreno. In March 2012, Sinier de Ridder produced a certificate of authenticity for the instrument.

On 9 June 2012, 355 years after it was made, the ‘Sabionari’ returned to Cremona and was entrusted to the Fondazione Museo del Violino Antonio Stradivari; it is currently exhibited as part of the ‘Friends of Stradivari’ collection at the Museo del Violino. The museum’s conservator, Fausto Cacciatori, described the guitar in an article published in the supplement to the September 2013 issue of The Strad magazine. In June and September 2012, Kristnasol Jiménez Moreno made the first recording of the ‘Sabionari’ guitar, performing works published by Robert de Visée in Paris in 1682. He notes: ‘Recording a CD with an instrument which has not been played for at least 200 years, and which has been restored, already constitutes a challenge. In addition, no recording has been made with one of Stradivari’s guitars to date; all this made for an exhilarating experience. Just as the music of de Visée shown itself to be a fountain of knowledge, a deepened engagement with an instrument of his times enriches our understanding of the art of interpretation. The way in which a specific sound is produced, the phrasing of the musical text, and finding a suitable fingering are directly influenced by the instrument. In this sense I found an exceptional master in the ‘Sabionari’ guitar.’

On 10 May 2014, Gianpaolo Gregori, Fausto Cacciatori, Lorenzo Frignani and guitarist Rolf Lislevand participated in a lecture at the Museo del Violino in Cremona entitled ‘Stradivari: Contrari di Chiarone, la Sabionari del 1679’. That evening, Lislevand performed on the ‘Sabionari’ in a concert; he described the guitar as follows: ‘This instrument has an incredible balance of the two parameters, attack and resonance, never heard so far. I have chosen to bring music almost never played: Italian opera from the first half of the 1600s. … Playing it on a modern copy of a changed guitar […] did not give me the feeling of having understood. With a guitar of this quality, this music is miraculously reborn.’

From January to April 2015, the ‘Sabionari’ was displayed at the HMB—Museum für Musik in Basel as part of its exhibition ‘GUITARORAMA—Guitars from Stradivari to Stratocaster’. The guitar was also presented at a lecture by Sinier de Ridder and in a concert by Kristnasol Jiménez Moreno at the Musik-Akademie Basel. In June 2015, Jiménez Moreno recorded a new CD/Blu-ray disc in the auditorium of the Museo del Violino in Cremona, playing music by Angelo Michele Bartolotti from around 1656.

Roberta Donnadue
'Archinto' seems to have taken place fairly early on; John Dilworth refers to a diary entry written by Count Cozio di Salabue in 1816, in which the master is indicated as being 77 years old at the time, and the present instrument was also one of the last cellos built by him before 1800, although it was shortened in the 19th century. The spectacularly narrowly, deeply and regularly flamed maple of the ‘Archinto’ is another quality it shares with the ‘Mediceo’ and is undoubtedly one of Antonio Stradivari's most famous instruments, this cello of 1689 is listed and discussed in detail in all of the standard literature. Like the ‘Mediceo’ cellos of 1690, the ‘Archinto’ is remarkable for its excellent overall condition; indeed, the two are surely among the most impressive bass instruments to have been produced by Stradivari in the 17th century. The present instrument was also one of the largest cellos built by the master before 1700, although it was shortened in the 19th century. The outer contours of the lower bout and waist thus remain untouched; the alteration of the original proportions is only noticeable from the middle of the upper bout onwards. Thanks to this fairly cautious intervention, the original arching has been largely preserved, displaying the beautiful, wide sweep of the fluting, which is still heavily influenced by the Amati school.

It is generally believed that Stradivari made the cellos for the Cardinal (later Archbishop) of Milan, Giuseppe Archinto (1651–1712), although this is not supported by any documentary evidence. What is certain, however, is that the instrument was in the possession of Count Giuseppe Archinto (1783–1861)—a descendant of the artistically-minded Cardinal—in around 1850 and was part of a quartet of Stradivari instruments in his collection. Following the death of Archinto, the cello was purchased in around 1862/1864 by the collector Gustavo Adolfo Noseda (1837–1866); however, in around 1865 he soon passed it on to the French luthier and dealer Jean Baptiste Vuillaume (1798–1875), who publicised it shortly afterwards.

The unsurpassable care in the shaping of the corners and edges, the sculpting of the scroll and the cut of the f-holes display the beautiful deep, wide sweep of the fluting, which is still heavily influenced by the Amati school.

In the early 1920s, the instrument came into the possession of the wealthy manufacturer Russell Baruch Kingman (1884–1959) of New Jersey, who published it shortly afterwards. This enthusiastic amateur cellist, who even made a number of recordings, played in the New Jersey Symphony Orchestra and was its president from 1928 to 1936. In the course of 1932, he presented the cello to all the renowned dealers in America and Europe, requesting reports on the authenticity and history of the instrument. This sudden, lively demand for certificates was precipitated by the planned sale of the cellos, which subsequently took place through Rudolph Wurlitzer Co. in New York on 11 January 1933. The buyer was John Nicholas Brown II (1900–1979) from Providence, Rhode Island. An art-loving philanthropist, John Nicholas Brown was a member of the wealthy Brown family, who were among the founders of Brown University. Following the Second World War, Brown, who was a high-ranking member of the United States Army, worked as a Special-Cultural Advisor for the ‘Monuments, Fine Arts and Archives Program’ in war-ravaged Germany. The amateur cellist did not only play on the ‘Archinto’ himself, but also lent it to prominent musicians, such as the Russian cellist Raya Gutman. In 1940, Brown sold the instrument through Rembert Wurlitzer in New York to Walter Lagmann of Ronkonkoma, New York. This rich traveller and collector owned the cello until 1974, when he donated it to the Music Academy of the West in Santa Barbara, California. The Academy sold it on 18 August 1976 for $200,000 to Richard D. Colburn (1913–2004), a million-dollar businessman and untiring patron of music. The instrument then became part of the Richard D. Colburn Foundation, whose main area of support continues to be in classical music education. In 2008, the cello was sold by the foundation through the dealership Robertson & Sons of Albuquerque, New Mexico, to its current owner, a doctor from Texas.
Viola 1690 'Medicea'

This famous large viola, which has been given the name 'Medicea 1690', is the only instrument made by Antonio Stradivari to have survived in its original condition. Indeed, the viola looks exactly as it would have done when it left the master's workshop 325 years ago, even the tailpiece and the fingerboard, inlaid with mother-of-pearl, remain intact. Furthermore, some of the drafts for the instrument have been kept, including a sketch indicating the positioning of the fiduciary. The instrument was one of two violas commissioned by the heir to the throne of Tuscany, Ferdinando de' Medici (1660–1713), to complete a 'Stradivari' quartet. Following the delivery of two violins and a viola to the first of 1699, a second order was placed by the Florentine court in the autumn of that year, as noted by Stradivari on 4 October 1699 on one of his drafts. The two violas were delivered in the winter of the same year.

The exceptional condition of the viola can, of course, be largely attributed to its role as part of the Medici collection; however, it is also a result of the fact that the instrument went out of fashion shortly after its production and was thus less exposed. In the 18th century, this viola clearly played an important role in five-part string writing, which, apart from the combination of two violins and bass, was the predominant instrumentation for polyphonic violin music at the time. In the course of the 18th century, however, the style of composition changed, and four-part writing—using two violins, a smaller viola and a smaller cello—became the norm: our 'classic' string quartet.

Since virtually nothing on the present viola has been changed, a number of very interesting details can be observed. The back button and the end of the pegbox correspond to one another in their form and size—a concept whose aesthetic was later abandoned in the frequent alterations to the form of the neck, during which the heel was reduced to increase playability, and which is thus very easy to be found on old instruments. The original narrow saddle is simply a continuation of the top-edge but made of a harder wood and is thus inconspicuously and harmoniously integrated into the overall appearance of the corpus. Since the saddle ends under the protective purfling, the risk of it restricting the belly wood and causing cracks is greatly reduced. Indeed, modern saddles that interrupt the purfling can often be the cause of serious damage. On the pegbox and scroll, where the blakening of the chamfer is well preserved, a distinctive feature can be seen: the middle line on the back of the pegbox and the outer windings of the scroll have also been unobtrusively blackened, emphasising the precision of the carving. In the centre of the end of the pegbox, which in this case is circular, the hole made by the point of the compass used to mark the outline is still clearly visible.

The dendrochronological examination reveals that the wood used for the viola's belly had not been stored for very long; the youngest discernable growth rings on the two halves date from 1682 and 1683. Incidentally, the belly wood has also been shown to originate from the same trunk as that of the 1696 'Archinto' viola. All in all, with their similar markings and the same high quality of the wood, the Medici instruments certainly seem to have been produced as a whole unit. The viola's almost completely preserved golden brown varnish appears considerably lighter than that of the violoncello.

In the first complete inventory of the musical instrument collection at the Florentine court in Palazzo Pitti, compiled in 1709, the present viola is listed, while the other instrument had somehow mysteriously vanished. Indeed, in the inventories of 1777, 1786 and 1819 it is only the present viola that is listed, with no mention of its counterpart. Between 1823 and 1829, the instrument was serviced and cleaned twice by the luthier Arcangelo Bimbi, who was employed at the Florentine court—by then occupied by the Habsburgs. In 1843 and 1845, the violin maker Gaetano Piattellini cleaned the viola and carried out some minor repairs on the tail piece. Following the flight of Grand Duke Ferdinand IV (1859–1860) and the assimilation of the Grand Duchy of Tuscany into the Kingdom of Italy in 1861, a thorough inventory of the Palazzo Pitti was compiled, in which the present viola that is listed, with no mention of its counterpart. Between 1823 and 1829, the instrument was serviced and cleaned twice by the luthier Arcangelo Bimbi, who was employed at the Florentine court—by then occupied by the Habsburgs. In 1843 and 1845, the violin maker Gaetano Piattellini cleaned the viola and carried out some minor repairs on the tail piece. Following the flight of Grand Duke Ferdinand IV (1859–1860) and the assimilation of the Grand Duchy of Tuscany into the Kingdom of Italy in 1861, a thorough inventory of the Palazzo Pitti was compiled, in which the present viola is listed for the last time. In 1863, the instrument was finally given to the Regio Istituto Musicale di Firenze, which had been founded in 1860. This institution was the forerunner of the Conservatorium di Musica 'Luigi Cherubini', whose collection the viola has remained to this day. The instrument was discussed at length by Hill in 1902 and was displayed at the exhibition in Compostum in 1937. It was later lent by Durand and Goodkind and was presented in Compostum again in 1987. In 2010, the viola was finally published with all the available archival sources for the first time.4
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The documentation was copy-edited by him, who had already done outstanding work on the first four volumes.

Claudia Dewar
The sensitive selection and proofing of the measurements of each instrument on the DVD was conducted by Claudia Dewar. She also organised the audio samples and assisted with all aspects of coordination.

Dr. Joachim A. Groth
Finally Dr. Joachim A. Groth again took care of filling one or another expert with enthusiasm for our project and eventually raising funds. For this, as well, I am deeply grateful.

I am also grateful to many colleagues for their support in the form of granting us access to their studios for photo shoots as well as local organisation in manifold ways. These include:

USA/Canada
David Brewer Fine Violins LLC and Erin Brewer
Roger and Daniel Osborne/Cantimen Inc.
Riz Hotel/Gay. Hotel & Company Ltd.
Christophe Lunden Rare Violins NYC
Rare Violins of New York
David Saegel Violins Ltd
Tartini London & NYC
The Costco Archive

Asia
Munzyuki Nakasone
Dai-Ting
Raei Iorgaki

Europe
J&A Beare Ltd.
Florian Leonard Fine Violins
Sean Bishop Violins
Stephan von Bude
Balbazar Saudier
Frédéric & Lucie Claudé
Johannes G. Leuthold
Roland Baumgartner
Jürg Budwigkiger
Michael Zahor
Matthias Rehmik
Jean Stense
Jens G. Johansson

A publication like this cannot be accomplished without the friendly support of the most important collections and museums worldwide:

Academia Nazionale di Santa Cecilia, Rome
Ashmolean Museum, Oxford
Canada Council for the Arts, Toronto
Cantina, Drummensröde
Cité Musique Foundation, Tainan
Finnish Cultural Foundation, Helsinki
Galleria degli Uffizi, Florence
Glinka National Museum Consortium of Musical Culture, Moscow
UNIARTS-Sibelius Academy, Helsinki

Naming the following partners and friends is the least I can do to express my gratitude towards them:

Giovanni Accornero
Paul Barber
Peter Bridgham
Dylan McKinnon
Pablo Bodini
David Brewer
Philippe Bragion
Borg Services GmbH
Fausto Custonetti
Alfredo Cian/mm
Charles Coquet
Michael Davron
Robert Domenichini
Vera Donnik
Philippe Echard
Klaas Flunkender Consulting GmbH
Joan Frigidal
Andrea Frandson
Dimitri Gindin
Stefano Peter Grainger
Jay Hélin
Ingo Hoyer
Barrie Knott
Fusian Leonard
Andy Lin
Leiter Machold
Martin Quartet
Nikkon Violin Co. Ltd.
Andreas Noser
OEAK Margarete Kürz
Horst Piskor
Jason Price
Claudia Protzshar
Martin Radford
Christian Reinze
Wolfgang Rothmaizer
Marcel Röhrs
Dianne Rosengard

All private owners, foundations and banks that are not listed individually and many more ...
Results of the Dendrochronological Analyses of Stradivari Instruments

The results of the dendrochronological analyses of the instruments of Volume I–VIII are listed in the following table. They are ordered chronologically by the attributed manufacturing dates of the instruments. The dendrochronological dates of the bass and treble sides of the single instruments are listed in a column.

In cases of one-piece bellies only one date is itemised. Graphically, the difference between the manufacturing date and the dendrochronological date is illustrated by the golden bar. The golden bar displays the seasoning period plus the number of annual rings cut before making the joint. The ‘oldest’ annual rings measured—which are usually located near the inlay—are also depicted. This way the number of measured rings can be perceived.

The measurement was taken on multiple positions along horizontal axes at a 90-degree angle to the grain. These positions include the lower bout, the widest part of the belly. The measurements were taken within the inlay—without the edges, since they can be renewed during a restoration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturing date</th>
<th>Name, Instrument</th>
<th>Dendrochronological date</th>
<th>1430</th>
<th>1480</th>
<th>1530</th>
<th>1580</th>
<th>1630</th>
<th>1680</th>
<th>1730</th>
<th>Book/Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.1667</td>
<td>'Aranyi' violin T</td>
<td>one piece top 1651</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1651</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1667</td>
<td>'Dubois' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1653, treble 1650</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1667</td>
<td>Jenkins' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1610, treble 1610</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1668</td>
<td>'Canadian' violin B</td>
<td>one piece top 1660</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>1668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1669</td>
<td>'Francaucci', 'Clibee' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1646, treble 1645</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1670–1680</td>
<td>'Klamroth' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1670, treble 1664</td>
<td>1581</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1677</td>
<td>'Sunrise' violin B</td>
<td>one piece top 1652</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1679</td>
<td>'Hellier' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1669, treble 1667</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1679</td>
<td>'Parera' violin T</td>
<td>one piece top 1669</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1679</td>
<td>'Sabionari' guitar *</td>
<td>bass 1618, treble 1620</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>1618</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1681</td>
<td>'Count de Lachenais', 'Reynier' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1675, treble 1674</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1682</td>
<td>'Chigiano' cello *</td>
<td>bass 1680, treble 1682</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1683</td>
<td>'Bucher' violin B</td>
<td>one piece top 1670</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1683</td>
<td>'Cipriani Potter' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1671, treble 1677</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1686</td>
<td>'Golden Bell' violin B</td>
<td>one piece top 1648</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1686</td>
<td>'Rosgonyi' violin *</td>
<td>bass 1665, treble 1668</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1687</td>
<td>'Bello', 'Marie Law' violin</td>
<td>bass 1681, treble 1674</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Violin 1667 'Jenkins'
Violin 1667 'Jenkins'
Antonio Stradivari, Cremona 1699, „Ex-Auer“
Back, thickness-map
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Composer Track</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1683-1685 ‘Arma Senkrah’ Ludwig van Beethoven (1770-1827) Trio for Violin, Violoncello &amp; Piano Op 70, 2 E Flat Major, Finale Allegro 8:30 Bogdan Borovic vl, Vienna Piano Trio (Dahringhaus und Grimm-Detmold -2014- MDG 942-1842-6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1686 ‘The Rosgonyl’ Johann Sebastian Bach (1685-1750) Partita No 1 in b minor, BWV 1002 VI. Double of Sarabande 2:59 Partita No 1 in b minor, BWV 1002 VII. Tempo di Borea 3:26 Eugene Drucker vl (Holy Trinity Church, New York City, 1988)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1687 ‘Traunstein, Witter’ Johann Sebastian Bach (1685-1750) Sonata No 2 in a minor BWV 1003, Grave 3:53 Sean Carpenter vl (private)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1691 ‘Auer’ Béla Bartok (1881-1945) Romanian Folk Dances 1 3:16 Romanian Folk Dances 2 4:01 Kerson Leong vl (17) (Courtesy of Groupe Analekta inc. All Rights Reserved, Used by Permission)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1691 ‘Ginn’ Johann Sebastian Bach (1685-1750) Sonata No 3 in C Major BWV 1005, Largo 3:04 Sonata No 3 in C Major BWV 1005, Allegro 2:40 Sean Carpenter vl (private)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>